

Appl. No. 10/817,354
Response dated 22nd April 2005
Reply to Restriction Requirement action of 14-Apr-05

AMENDMENT and RESPONSE

In response to the Patent Office examiner's Office Action mailed 14 April 2005, please consider the following remarks in the above-captioned case. The undersigned encourages the examiner to call at a time convenient to the examiner in the event a conversation might aid moving prosecution forward and/or result in an examiner's amendment. This response has been formatted in the revised format pursuant to published notification by the Patent Office as best as can be understood. See, MPEP §714 III.

Amendments to the Specification reflect the examiner's suggestion <none suggested>.

Amendments to the Claims <none made> [~~are reflected in the full listing of claims (as required for the new amendment format) which begins on page <00> of this correspondence~~].

No amendments to the Drawings are deemed necessary, as no suggestion or objection has been made to so amend.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 3 of this correspondence.

Friday, April 22, 2005 3:02 PM

To: Exr. Hoai-An D. Nguyen

From: Jean Macheledt

(970) 267-8893

Page: 1 of 5

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 22 2005

Fax

Name: Jean Macheledt

Company: Macheledt Bales LLP

Voice Number: (970) 267-8884 / (970) 218-6798

Fax Number: (970) 267-8893

Landings Bay Office Park

3944 JFK Parkway, Suite 12-D

Fort Collins, Colorado 80525-3072

Date: Friday, April 22, 2005

Total Pages: 5

Subject: App. No. 10/817,354

Name: Exr. Hoai-An D. Nguyen

Company: U.S. Patent Office

Voice Number:

Fax Number: (703) 872-9306

Note: Attached please find a 4-page response to Exr. Nguyen's restriction requirement mailed from the PTO 14-Apr-05.

Respectfully,

Jean Macheledt

Reg. No. 33,956

Tel. 970.218.6798

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
APR 22 2005

Appl. No. 10/817,354
Response dated 22th April 2005
Reply to Restriction Requirement action of 14-Apr-05

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

al. Oomman K. Varghese, et)

Filed: 02 April 2004)

Serial No: 10/817,354)

Confirm No: 3287)

Docket: PSU -100A)

For: Titania Nanotube Arrays for use as
Sensors and Method of Producing)

Examiner: Hoai-An D. NGUYEN)

Group Art: 2858)

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION 4 pages total in this response.

→ Please autoREPLY to FAX No (970) 267-8893

I hereby certify under 37 CFR 1.8(a) that a signed original copy
of this paper is being sent as authorized by 37 CFR 1.6(d) on the
date indicated below via standard telephonic facsimile
transmission to: (703) 872-9306

ATTN: Examiner Hoai-An D. NGUYEN


Amendment/Arguments in response to Office action

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450
on

Date of Transmission: Friday, 22th day of April, 2005

By: Jean M Macheledt (printed name)

signed:



NON FEE Response to Office Communication mailed 14 April 2005

Mail Stop NON-Fee Response

Commissioner for Patents


P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Authorization to Charge FEES, if necessary

Dear Sir:

Applicants need not petition to request an extension of time to respond of <0> month under 37 CFR 1.136(a), permitting the attached response and amendments to be entered, as applicants are within shortened statutory time permitted by the examiner. Thus NO FEE is required under §1.17(a)(1) [1251/2251]. However, in the event it is determined that an additional amount is due as a result of the attached response, any such amount is to be charged to **Macheledt Bales LLP deposit account no. 020725**. Since the response is being fax-filed, no duplicate copy of this first page is enclosed.



Macheledt Bales & Heidmiller LLP

Jean M Macheledt, Reg. No. 33,956

Attorney for Applicants/Assignee

Tel.(970) 218-6798